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Interfacial electronic properties of the CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ (MAPbI$_3$)/MoO$_x$ interface are investigated using ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy and X-ray photoemission spectroscopy. It is found that the pristine MAPbI$_3$ film coated onto the substrate of poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) poly(styrenesulfonate)/indium tin oxide by two-step method behaves as an n-type semiconductor, with a band gap of $\sim$1.7 eV and a valence band edge of 1.40 eV below the Fermi energy (EF). With the MoO$_x$ deposition of 64 Å upon MAPbI$_3$, the energy levels of MAPbI$_3$ shift toward higher binding energy by 0.25 eV due to electron transfer from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_x$. Its conduction band edge is observed to almost pin to the EF, indicating a significant enhancement of conductivity. Meanwhile, the energy levels of MoO$_x$ shift toward lower binding energy by $\sim$0.30 eV, and an interface dipole of 2.13 eV is observed at the interface of MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_x$. Most importantly, the chemical reaction taking place at this interface results in unfavorable interface energy level alignment for hole extraction. A potential barrier of $\sim$1.36 eV observed for hole transport will impede the hole extraction from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_x$. On the other hand, a potential barrier of $\sim$0.14 eV for electron extraction is too small to efficiently suppress electrons extracted from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_x$. Therefore, such an interface is not an ideal choice for hole extraction in organic photovoltaic devices. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4921339]

Recently, organometal halide perovskite materials such as methylammonium lead halides (CH$_3$NH$_3$PbX$_3$, X = I, Cl, Br) have arisen as one of the most attractive candidates for producing solar cells due to their high efficiency, cheap and abundant raw materials, and good scalability for industrial production.1–8 Kojima et al.9 first reported in 2009 on a 3.8% efficient solar cell with perovskite CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ (MAPbI$_3$) and TiO$_2$. Grätzel et al.10 brought the efficiency to 15% by depositing PbI$_2$ on nanoporous TiO$_2$ and subsequently submerging it into a CH$_3$NH$_3$I (MAI) solution. Using vapor deposition, Snaith’s group11 demonstrated that a planar heterojunction MAPbI$_3$ solar cell, without the mesoporous organic light emitting diodes (OLED).21–23 The high work function (WF) of MoO$_x$ usually leads the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level of the contact organics bending-up toward the interface, which reduces the interface potential barrier and facilitates the hole extraction or injection at the interface. The encouraging performance enhancement has been demonstrated by many authors in small molecule OPV cells. Kröger et al.24 proposed that the MoO$_x$ interlayer at the anode tremendously enhanced hole injection into organic layer via electron extraction from the HOMO of organic layer through the MoO$_x$ conduction band. Nakayama et al.25 further inferred the origin of the hole injection enhancement by the MoO$_x$ insertion layer. This line of work has recently been summarized by Wang et al.26 Furthermore, MoO$_x$ has also been demonstrated effective as an anode insertion layer in inorganic thin layer photovoltaic devices (IPV).27 It is therefore highly desirable to investigate if MoO$_x$ may serve the same role in perovskite based solar cells.

Here, we report our effort in investigating a MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_x$ interface, and addressing its electronic structure to verify whether or not such an interface is a potential candidate to enhance efficiently hole extraction in perovskite devices as in OPVs. Ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (UPS) and X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) data were collected as MoO$_x$ was deposited layer by layer on MAPbI$_3$ film, and the interfacial chemistry and energy level alignment were measured to determine whether a deposited MoO$_x$ insertion layer might serve as an injection/extraction layer between the anode and active layer as the case in OPVs. Our results
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indicate, however, the lack of chemical stability of the MAPbI$_3$ manifested by the strong interface reaction when MoO$_x$ is deposited onto the MAPbI$_3$, as well as the energy levels mismatch between the MoO$_x$ and the MAPbI$_3$, makes it not directly suitable for such insertion layers to be effective in MAPbI$_3$ based solar cells.

The MAPbI$_3$ film was fabricated by a two-step interdiffusion of spin-coated stacking layers of PbI$_2$ and MAI. Details about the MAPbI$_3$ film fabrication could be found elsewhere.$^{28,29}$ We evaporated MoO$_x$ layers on MAPbI$_3$ gradually and the thickness of the MoO$_x$ film increased from submonolayer (0.5 Å) to several atom layers (64 Å) at a controlled rate of 0.2–0.3 Å/min were monitored by a quartz thickness monitor. At each MoO$_x$ film thickness, we measured UPS and XPS spectra to learn the energy level alignment and interface reaction. The UPS was measured with He I (21.22 eV) and XPS with standard Al K$_\alpha$ X-ray source (1486.6 eV). A total energy resolution of 70 meV was selected for the UPS measurements as determined from the Fermi edge of Au. For XPS, the pass energy of the spectrometer with a resolution of 0.5 eV was 40 eV. The binding energies of all UPS and XPS spectra were calibrated and referenced to the Fermi level (E$_F$) of the analyzer. All measurements were taken at room temperature.

Shown in Figure 1 are the UPS spectra of evaporated MoO$_x$ films on MAPbI$_3$ substrate. Figure 1(a) displays the evolution of secondary photoemission cut-off with the gradual deposition of MoO$_x$ layers, from which we can know the WF of the sample surface. The WF was obtained from the energy difference between the secondary cut-off and the E$_F$ of the system. For as-grown MAPbI$_3$, the WF was measured to be 4.13 eV, a little larger than other groups’ reports.$^{30}$ It increased to 4.59 eV with the subsequent MoO$_x$ deposition of 0.5 Å on MAPbI$_3$. Then it increased gradually, and finally saturated at 6.65 eV with the MoO$_x$ deposition of 64 Å, resulting in a total WF increase of 2.52 eV. Interestingly, there was a sharp increase of WF between 4 Å and 12 Å, which could be possibly associated with a fully qualified MoO$_x$ molecular layer formed at a certain thickness in this range. Figure 1(b) presents the UPS data of the highest lying valence band (VB) regions, in which the VB maximum (VBM) of MAPbI$_3$ film displays ~1.40 eV. A characteristic VB peak of MoO$_x$ could be distinguished beyond a MoO$_x$ coverage of 12 Å with the formation of a complete molecular layer of MoO$_x$. However, a shoulder peak was observed at ~4.43 eV with the MoO$_x$ deposition of up to 1 Å, indicating a MoO$_x$ VBM of 3.09 eV. With the subsequent deposition of up to 64 Å, the VBM shifted upward (to E$_F$) and finally saturated at about 2.78 eV, which indicated a total shift upward of ~0.3 eV.

To highlight the effect of the MoO$_x$ thickness on the energy level shift, the detailed evolutions of the WF and VBM are shown in Figure 1(c) as the MoO$_x$ thickness increases. It is clear that the WF and VBM change more rapidly until the MoO$_x$ deposition of 16 Å; and then the changes become gradual at the following steps; finally, with the MoO$_x$ deposition of over 32 Å, the shifts practically saturate. The shift of WF can be ascribed to the contributions of interface dipole and band-bending. The upward band-bending of the MoO$_x$ VBM may be ascribed to less oxygen deficiencies in thicker MoO$_x$ coverages than in thinner MoO$_x$ ones, leading to a change from MoO$^{2-}$ to neutral MoO$_x$. It is consistent with our XPS data to be presented later, in which more Mo$^{6+}$ was detected in thicker MoO$_x$ coverages. Furthermore, it should be noted that at the first several steps, the ionization potential (IP) of MoO$_x$ presents an upward tendency, which is associated with the chemical reaction in the interface region, in which the I component is reduced, possibly by passing the electron to MoO$_x$ and becoming neutral. This can lead to the formation of volatile I that in turn escapes to the vacuum, resulting in the interface region no longer pure MAPbI$_3$.

In order to gain a better understanding of the energy levels evolution and the interface reaction, we used XPS to
investigate further the chemical characteristics. Shown in Figure 2 are the XPS spectra of Pb 4f\(_{7/2}\), I 3d\(_{5/2}\), Mo 3d, and O 1s core levels in the MAPbI\(_3\)/MoO\(_x\) interface as the MoO\(_x\) coverage increases. All the spectra were normalized to the same height for visual clarity. The information of the elements in MAPbI\(_3\) substrate is just presented for low over-layer of MoO\(_x\) (up to 16 Å) due to the limit of XPS probing depth. From Figure 2(a), we can find that the Pb 4f\(_{7/2}\) core level comprises two components represented by two peaks which center on 138.59 eV and 136.80 eV, respectively. The bigger one (centered on 138.59 eV) can be associated to the Pb component in MAPbI\(_3\), while the smaller one to the little metallic Pb decomposed from MAPbI\(_3\), most likely due to the chemical reaction and sample annealing before the deposition of MoO\(_x\) film on it.\(^{31}\) With the deposition of MoO\(_x\) on the MAPbI\(_3\) substrate, both of the two peaks shift toward higher binding energies during the initial several steps of MoO\(_x\) deposition, accompanied with sharp intensity reduction. The total shift of Pb 4f\(_{7/2}\) is measured to be \(\sim 0.4\) eV before it reaches saturation. Almost the same shift can be obtained for I 3d in Figure 2(b), which indicates a downward band bending of 0.4 eV in the energy levels of the MAPbI\(_3\) film. However, the actual band bending should be rectified by incorporating the charging effect during the XPS measurement, which will be discussed further below. The C 1s spectrum of the pristine MAPbI\(_3\) substrate (not showing here) mainly consists of two kinds of carbon components at 286.78 eV and 285.10 eV, respectively. The one at 286.78 eV (72\%) can be attributed to the well-ordered and stoichiometric MAPbI\(_3\), and the other (28\%) from carbon impurities.

Shown in Figures 2(c) and 2(d) is the evolution of Mo 3d and O 1s XPS peaks, respectively. As a multivalence element, Mo has oxidation states 5\(^+\) and 6\(^+\) in our MoO\(_x\) film. The evaporated MoO\(_x\) films had been observed to be heavily n-type doped due to the oxygen deficiency\(^{32}\) as we discussed previously. The difference of stoichiometric MoO\(_3\) versus oxygen deficient MoO\(_x\) in devices has been reported by many authors.\(^{19,33–35}\) Our experiment here also showed that the MoO\(_x\) films deposited on MAPbI\(_3\) substrate in the vacuum was composed of Mo\(^{5+}\) and Mo\(^{6+}\). We took the thickness of
MoOx films at 0.5 Å and 4 Å to reveal the evolution of oxides species. For the MoOx coverage of 0.5 Å, Mo 3d core level contains a pair of main peaks with a 3.2 eV spin-orbit splitting between them, the one centered on 235.1 eV belongs to Mo 3d3/2, and the other one centered on 231.9 eV belongs to Mo 3d5/2. A pair of shoulder peaks appear at about 1.3 eV higher binding energy than their main peaks, which means a single doublet could not resolve the observed XPS spectra. From a fitting analysis with a Gaussian/Lorentzian mixing function, the positions of Mo 3d5/2 of two oxidation states of Mo, Mo6+, and Mo5+, are measured to be 232.94 eV (see dashed line) and 231.65 eV (see dotted line), respectively. The corresponding energy differences between the peak of Mo5+ and the peak of Mo6+ and the peak of O 1s are 297.89 eV and 299.18 eV, respectively. The results agree well with our previous reports26,36,37 in which the energy separations between the Mo 3d5/2 and O 1s are 297.8 eV and 299.1 eV for Mo6+ and Mo5+, respectively.

We focus on the peak of Mo6+ with respect to Mo 3d5/2 in Figure 2(c), the peak position shifts from 232.94 eV with the deposition of 0.5 Å MoOx to slightly higher binding energy at low MoOx deposition of up to 2 Å, which could be ascribed to the charging effect during the XPS measurement, then it turns to shift toward lower BE with following deposition of MoOx showing a saturation of 232.80 eV with 64 Å MoOx coverage, indicating a total shift of 0.15 eV toward the EF. As shown in Figure 2(d), the position of the O 1s peak shows an approximately same shift as the Mo6+ peak of Mo 3d5/2. Therefore, a total band bending-up of 0.15 eV in the MoOx coverage is achieved with the MoOx deposition of 64 Å. It is worth mentioning that this band bending-up is significantly smaller than the VBM shift upward of 0.3 eV obtained by UPS in Figure 1(b). The difference of 0.15 eV between them can be most likely ascribed to the charging effect in XPS measurement, which is consistent with the result obtained by rectifying the core levels by reference to the C 1s of amorphous carbon. Therefore, the actual band bending-up of MoOx film is 0.30 eV after eliminating the charging effect. Similarly, in the underlying MAPbI3 layer, the actual band bending-down should be 0.25 eV after incorporating the charging effect into the shift of 0.4 eV toward higher band energy as shown in Figures 2(a) and 2(b).

The evolution of the ratio of Mo5+ to Mo6+ (Mo5+/Mo6+) is plotted in Figure 3(a) with increasing thickness of the MoOx coverage. It is found that the fraction of Mo5+ is much less than that of Mo6+. At the MoOx deposition of 0.5 Å, the Mo5+/Mo6+ ratio is about 0.24, then the fraction of Mo5+ begins to slightly increase accompanied with the decrease of Mo6+ with the following MoOx deposition of up to 2 Å, leading to a slight increase of Mo5+/Mo6+. This may be due to electron transfer from perovskite to MoOx. Such a transfer can lead I− to neutral I, which subsequently leaves the surface because of the high vapor pressure as we discussed previously. Then, with the subsequent MoOx deposition of up to 64 Å, the content of Mo5+ continuously decreases and only Mo6+ can be detected with the MoOx deposition of beyond 12 Å. According to the change of intensity for Pb 4f7/2 and I 3d5/2, we observed that I signal drops much quicker than Pb. This is an evidence to confirm that I is leaving during the MoOx deposition. Actually, as shown in Figure 3(b), the ratio of I to Pb (I/Pb) reduces from 2.15:1 of the pristine film to 0.67:1 after the deposition of 16 Å of MoOx, indicating a significant deviation from the stoichiometry of MAPbI3. Furthermore, the core levels of Mo 3d and O 1s shift to the opposite direction of I 3d and Pb 4f, a further evidence of perovskite decomposition in addition to the I/Pb ratio reduction. We obtained the ratios of Mo5+/Mo6+ and I/Pb based on the XPS data by using Gaussian/Lorentzian fitting and incorporating atomic sensitivity factors.

The energy levels alignment diagram for the MAPbI3/MoOx interface is shown in Figure 3(c). The band gap of MAPbI3 is taken as 1.7 eV according to our previous results31,38 and the corresponding CBM of MAPbI3 locates at ~0.30 eV above the EF, which means the MAPbI3 sample used here is heavily n-type material. The band gap of MoOx is set as 3.2 eV by assuming the optical gap of MoOx as the difference between its CB minimum and VBM. There is a band bending-down of 0.25 eV in the underlying MAPbI3 layer, while a band bending-up of ~0.64 eV for the vacuum level and a band bending-up of ~0.30 eV for the VBM in the MoOx overlayer with enough thickness of the MoOx deposition. An interface dipole of ~2.13 eV observed at MAPbI3/MoOx interface can be attributed to the electron transfer from the lower WF material MAPbI3 to the higher WF material MoOx.

Given that MAPbI3 is used as absorber layer to provide charge carriers in OPV devices, while MoOx is used as anode buffer layer to efficiently enhance hole transport and suppress electron transport. Therefore, it is interesting to discuss the potential barrier of the MAPbI3/MoOx interface for hole and electron transfer. It is observed that there is a potential barrier of 1.36 eV for hole extraction from MAPbI3 to MoOx due to the offset between their VBM, which will impede the hole extraction rather than enhance it. On the other hand, a small potential barrier of ~0.14 eV for electron extraction is achieved due to the offset between their CBMs, which is too low to suppress the electron extraction from MAPbI3 to MoOx. Therefore, we can conclude that such an interface does not provide a favorable energy level alignment to exclusively collect holes. Actually, the MAPbI3/MoOx interface is not an ideal choice for hole extraction in OPV devices. Some special hole transfer materials should be inserted between the MAPbI3 layer and the MoOx layer not only to achieve the favorable energy level alignment, thus to fulfill the Ohm contact, but also to suppress the chemical reaction between them.

In conclusion, we have investigated the interfacial electronic properties of the interface of MAPbI3/MoOx using UPS and XPS. The energy levels of MAPbI3 shifted toward higher binding energy by 0.25 eV with the MoOx overlayer of 64 Å upon it, which can be ascribed to the electron transfer from MAPbI3 to MoOx. Meanwhile, the energy levels of the MoOx coverage shifted upward by 0.30 eV, and an interface dipole of ~2.13 eV was observed at the MAPbI3/MoOx interface. Most importantly, the chemical reaction taking place at such an interface, exemplified by the rapid reduction of iodine after MoOx deposition, results in unfavorable interface energy level alignment for hole extraction. The potential barrier of 1.36 eV for hole extraction was too big to efficiently transfer holes from MAPbI3 to MoOx, while the
potential barrier of $\sim$0.14 eV for electron extraction was too small to efficiently suppress electrons extracted from MAPbI$_3$ to MoO$_x$. Therefore, it is concluded that the MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_x$ interface is not an ideal choice for hole extraction in OPV devices.
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FIG. 3. The ratio of (a) Mo$^{5+}$/Mo$^{6+}$ and (b) I/Pb with increasing thickness of the MoO$_x$ coverage. (c) The energy levels alignment diagram at the MAPbI$_3$/MoO$_x$ interface.
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